

**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

APPLICANT : CHAN, On Bon, Peter  
TITLE : **AN INTELLIGENT INK  
CARTRIDGE AND METHOD FOR  
MANUFACTURING THE SAME**  
APPLICATION NO. : 10/505,381  
FILED : August 20, 2004  
CONFIRMATION NO. : 3788  
EXAMINER : Shelby Lee Fidler  
ART UNIT : 2861  
LAST OFFICE ACTION : January 31, 2007  
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : INNP 2 00016

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

MAIL STOP Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Further to the Interview Summary mailed August 28, 2007, applicant provides this summary of the substance of the interview conducted on August 16, 2007.

The Examiner and applicant's representative discussed U.S. Patent No. 6,155,664 to Cook and particularly, with regard to rejected claims 13 and 14. The

---

*Certificate of Electronic Transmission*

I hereby certify that this Correspondence and accompanying documents are being filed on the date indicated below by electronic transmission with the United States Patent and Trademark Office via the electronic filing system (EFS-Web).

September 28, 2007  
Date

Mary M. Schriener  
By: Mary M. Schriener

Examiner cited column 16, lines 52-65 thereof. The Examiner interpreted this portion of Cook to describe an electronics module carried on an ink cartridge wherein either the printer or the electronics module can manage all of the ink remaining/consumed data thereon.

Applicant explained that the present application provides an intelligent ink cartridge carrying on-board electronics whereby ink utilization data received from the associated inkjet printer apparatus is first "adjusted" and, thereafter, stored in the electronics module as the ink remaining data. In that way, a larger portion of ink can be consumed from the cartridge during use thereof. Essentially, the strategy is to artificially adjust the ink remaining data upwardly and/or the ink consumed data downwardly so that the printer "thinks" that the cartridge has more ink than an equivalent OEM cartridge would represent that it has.

Applicant suggested that claim revisions and/or arguments may be submitted by way of amendment to more definitively distinguish the present invention from any fair teaching of the prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY SHARPE LLP

23 September 2007  
Date

Timothy E. Nauman  
Timothy E. Nauman  
Reg. No. 32,283  
1100 Superior Avenue, Seventh Floor  
Cleveland, OH 44114-2579  
216-861-5582